Formal_Conyers QA Proforma

Record Date: 01/09/2020 Status: New

Observer List	Wilkinson; Jenna (JW)
Faculty/Subject/Staff	Faculty: Design and Technology Subject: Art Staff: Waters; April (AWA)

Observation Guidance

General Prompts:

Expectations, Planning, Seating, Variety, Questioning, Challenge, Differentiation, Pace. Feedback and Relationships.

Effective Feedback: Q&A, Praise, Challenge, Books checked and Class file.

Support for Reading, Writing, Communication and Maths

Classroom Climate:

Expectations, Positive relations, Praise, Display and resources, and Environmental standards

Expectations:

Teaching file? Class Context with latest data? Lesson Plan? Seating Plan?

Does it involve all students?, Is it an effective hook?

Teacher input

Subject knowledge, Connection to big picture?, Pace? Effective questioning?, Variety of teaching styles?, Resources?

Activities to practise skills?, Learning styles?, Engagement?, Independence?, Challenge? Collaboration?, How do students demonstrate learning?, Varied opportunities to process learning? Includes all students?

Plenary
Is the learning shared?, Discuss, debrief, link to LO?, Progression?, Project forward to next lesson?, Assessment for Learning?

Notes			
Comments:			

Quality Assurance Summary

Checking Understanding

Understanding is checked and teaching adapted where necessary

Outcome:

Learner Attitudes to Learning Learners demonstrate a positive attitude to their learning	Outcome:
Literacy Development Teaching including the teacher's own reading, writing & spelling develops literacy	Outcome:
Developing Long Term Memory and Fluency Teaching helps learners remember long term and develops fluency	Outcome:
Learner Behaviour Learners' behaviour is well managed so that learning is not disrupted	Outcome:
Meeting All Learner's Needs Learning provides appropriate challenge for all learners, including those with SEN.	Outcome:
Planning and Sequencing Learning is well planned and sequenced	Outcome:
Resource Focus and Workload Resources focus on learning and do not increase teacher workload unnecessarily	Outcome:
Subject and Pedagogical Knowledge The teacher's subject and pedagogical knowledge are strong	Outcome:
Quality of Books Books indicate high expectations.	Outcome:

Feedback and Next Steps

24/09/2020 **Observation Details**

- Feedback and Next Steps

 To what extent was the lesson plan driven by learning needs?

 How did they assess what students are learning and what use do they make of this information?

 How confident are they in delivering their subject(s)?

 What is the quality of CPD? What has been the impact on your practice?

 How do leaders help you manage your workload?

Comments:			

Criteria Appendix

Checking Understanding

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Learner Attitudes to Learning

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Literacy Development

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Developing Long Term Memory and Fluency

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Learner Behaviour

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Meeting All Learner's Needs

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Planning and Sequencing

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Resource Focus and Workload

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree

Subject and Pedagogical Knowledge

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree 24/09/2020 Observation Details

Quality of Books

Strongly Agree - Strongly Agree Agree - Agree Disagree - Disagree Strongly Disag - Strongly Disagree